Tonnesen, Gail [Tonnesen.Gail@epa.gov] From:

Sent: 4/18/2017 3:34:58 PM

To: Tonnesen, Gail [Tonnesen.Gail@epa.gov]; Payton, Richard [Payton.Richard@epa.gov]; Dolwick, Pat

> [Dolwick.Pat@epa.gov]; Kotchenruther, Robert [Kotchenruther.Robert@epa.gov]; Evangelista, Mark [Evangelista.Mark@epa.gov]; Bridgers, George [Bridgers.George@epa.gov]; Bohnenkamp, Carol

[Bohnenkamp.Carol@epa.gov]; Bohning, Scott [Bohning.Scott@epa.gov]; Kay, Rynda [Kay.Rynda@epa.gov]; [ames.j.szykman@nasa.gov; Scheffe, Rich [Scheffe.Rich@epa.gov]; Keating, Terry [Keating.Terry@epa.gov]; Possiel,

Norm [Possiel.Norm@epa.gov]; Sather, Mark [sather.mark@epa.gov]; Snyder, Erik [snyder.erik@epa.gov]; Matichuk, Rebecca [Matichuk.Rebecca@epa.gov]; Jackson, Scott [Jackson.Scott@epa.gov]; Beaver, Melinda [Beaver.Melinda@epa.gov]; Henderson, Barron [henderson.barron@epa.gov]; Chu, Shao-Hang [Chu.Shao-Hang@epa.gov]; Burkhart, Richard [burkhart.richard@epa.gov]; blebaron@utah.gov; bocall@utah.gov; kkreykes@utah.gov; rick.hardy@deq.idaho.gov; Sara.Strachan@deq.idaho.gov; Rong.Li@deq.idaho.gov;

rmccammon@blm.gov; cara.keslar@wyo.gov; clint@ecy.wa.gov; rdha461@ecy.wa.gov;

ALLEN.Philip@deq.state.or.us; LAZAREV.Svetlana@deq.state.or.us; Keith.McFall@doh.hawaii.gov;

Paul.Fransioli@ClarkCountyNV.gov; jkarmazyn@utah.gov; bright.dornblaser@tceq.texas.gov; chyatt@nd.gov;

Tekniepe@ClarkCountyNV.gov; zli@co.clark.nv.us; Algirdas Leskys [LESKYS@ClarkCountyNV.gov];

Langston@ClarkcountyNV.gov; Araceli.Pruett@ClarkcountyNV.gov; mark.jones@state.nm.us; Sharac, Timothy

[Sharac.Timothy@epa.gov]; Lear, Gary [Lear.Gary@epa.gov]; Shobha.Kondragunta@noaa.gov;

cara.keslar@wyo.gov; david.nunes@valleyair.org; Templeton.Ryan@azdeq.gov; scott.landes@state.co.us; dan.welsh@state.co.us; amber.ortega@state.co.us; andrew.o.langford@noaa.gov; Mike.Hardesty@noaa.gov; David.D.Parrish@noaa.gov; Owen.R.Cooper@noaa.gov; pfister@ucar.edu; Tom Moore [tmoore@westar.org];

brad.pierce@noaa.gov; lawrence.e.flynn@noaa.gov; akaduwel@arb.ca.gov; fforsgre@ndep.nv.gov; sfontaine@ndep.nv.gov; baanderson02@fs.fed.us; emmons@ucar.edu; audra.mcclure@noaa.gov; Robert.B.Chatfield@nasa.gov; rwhite@nd.gov; irina.petro@noaa.gov; Bryan.Johnson@noaa.gov;

lesley.e.ott@nasa.gov; bryan.n.duncan@nasa.gov; katherine.e.knowland@nasa.gov; barkley_sive@nps.gov Palma, Elizabeth [Palma.Elizabeth@epa.gov]; Fox, Tyler [Fox.Tyler@epa.gov]; Terry, Sara [Terry.Sara@epa.gov];

Naess, Liz [Naess.Liz@epa.gov]

FW: Stratospheric Intrusion Workgroup call Tuesday April 18th Subject:

Attachments: plots for April SI v2 call.pptx

All,

CC:

I'm resending with an additional plot from Scott for the Mt Washington event:

For the call today, I attached a PPT that includes plots for NM and CO for April 9, 2017. For the New Hampshire March 18th event, please download Brad's PPT from:

ftp://ftp.ssec.wisc.edu/pub/raqms/SI workgroup/SI WG March 18 2017 Mt Washington RAQMS.pptx

Agenda:

April 18: 9 am PDT, 10 am MDT, noon EST
Call In Number: Conference Line/Code / Ex. 6

1. April 9, 2017 in NM and CO.

2. New Hampshire March 17-18, 2017

Thanks,

Gail

From: Brad Pierce - NOAA Federal [mailto:brad.pierce@noaa.gov]

Sent: Monday, April 17, 2017 11:34 AM

To: Tonnesen, Gail < Tonnesen. Gail@epa.gov>

Subject: Re: Stratospheric Intrusion Workgroup call Tuesday April 18th

Gail,

I've put together some slides for the Mt Washington case study to discuss at tomorrow's telecon. RAQMS doesn't show much evidence of a SI event, but there could have been some influence from agricultural fires in the Central and SE US.

Slides are at:


ftp://ftp.ssec.wisc.edu/pub/ragms/SI workgroup/SI WG March 18 2017 Mt Washington RAQMS.pptx

Brad

On Wed, Apr 12, 2017 at 9:32 AM, Tonnesen, Gail < Tonnesen. Gail@epa.gov > wrote:

All,

We have an SI Workgroup call scheduled for Tuesday April 18th, 9 am PT, 10 am MT, noon ET. There are two possible SI events that we can discuss on the call. I'm forwarding an email below from Richard with data for March 18, 2017 at Mt. Washington, NH. Our group has been mostly focused on western states so let's discuss on the call how much we want to focus on the eastern U.S., or should there be a separate workgroup for eastern states? I will also forward a second email from Richard with data for a possible SI event in Colorado on Sunday, April 9.



Thanks,

Gail

303-312-6113

From: Payton, Richard

Sent: Monday, April 03, 2017 10:00 AM

To: Tonnesen, Gail < Tonnesen. Gail @epa.gov>

Subject: e-mail to Strat Intrusion Workgroup RE: March 18, 2017 Mt. Washington, NH data

Richard	
Stratospheric Intrusion Workgroup:	
A possible topic for the April 18 call is some high ozone data rece	orded at Mt. Washington, NH (elevation
6,289 feet, 1,917 m; 44.270167, -71.303750) overnight March 17	to 18, 2017. Peak hour was 7:00 am at 120
ppb, with an 8-hour average of 95.9 ppb from 3:00 am to 10:00 ar	
ambiguous as to whether this was a stratospheric intrusion, or due	to some other cause. It would be useful if
	_
members of the workgroup could look into this data a little deepe	r.
members of the workgroup could look into this data a little deepe	r.
Date/Time	1 hr (ppb)
Date/Time 3/17/2017 19:00	1 hr (ppb) 46
Date/Time 3/17/2017 19:00 3/17/2017 20:00	1 hr (ppb) 46 48
Date/Time 3/17/2017 19:00 3/17/2017 20:00 3/17/2017 21:00	1 hr (ppb) 46 48 52
Date/Time 3/17/2017 19:00 3/17/2017 20:00 3/17/2017 21:00 3/17/2017 22:00	1 hr (ppb) 46 48 52 52
Date/Time 3/17/2017 19:00 3/17/2017 20:00 3/17/2017 21:00 3/17/2017 22:00 3/17/2017 23:00	1 hr (ppb) 46 48 52 52 65
Date/Time 3/17/2017 19:00 3/17/2017 20:00 3/17/2017 21:00 3/17/2017 22:00 3/17/2017 23:00 3/18/2017 0:00	1 hr (ppb) 46 48 52 52 65 75
Date/Time 3/17/2017 19:00 3/17/2017 20:00 3/17/2017 21:00 3/17/2017 22:00 3/17/2017 23:00 3/18/2017 0:00 3/18/2017 1:00	1 hr (ppb) 46 48 52 52 65 75 78
Date/Time 3/17/2017 19:00 3/17/2017 20:00 3/17/2017 21:00 3/17/2017 22:00 3/17/2017 23:00 3/18/2017 0:00 3/18/2017 1:00 3/18/2017 2:00	1 hr (ppb) 46 48 52 52 65 75 78 79
Date/Time 3/17/2017 19:00 3/17/2017 20:00 3/17/2017 21:00 3/17/2017 22:00 3/17/2017 23:00 3/18/2017 0:00 3/18/2017 1:00 3/18/2017 2:00 3/18/2017 3:00	1 hr (ppb) 46 48 52 52 65 75 78 79 77
Date/Time 3/17/2017 19:00 3/17/2017 20:00 3/17/2017 21:00 3/17/2017 22:00 3/17/2017 23:00 3/18/2017 0:00 3/18/2017 1:00 3/18/2017 2:00 3/18/2017 3:00 3/18/2017 3:00	1 hr (ppb) 46 48 52 52 65 75 78 79 77 76
Date/Time 3/17/2017 19:00 3/17/2017 20:00 3/17/2017 21:00 3/17/2017 22:00 3/17/2017 23:00 3/18/2017 0:00 3/18/2017 1:00 3/18/2017 3:00 3/18/2017 4:00 3/18/2017 5:00	1 hr (ppb) 46 48 52 52 65 75 78 79 77 76 83
Date/Time 3/17/2017 19:00 3/17/2017 20:00 3/17/2017 21:00 3/17/2017 22:00 3/17/2017 23:00 3/18/2017 0:00 3/18/2017 1:00 3/18/2017 3:00 3/18/2017 4:00 3/18/2017 5:00 3/18/2017 6:00	1 hr (ppb) 46 48 52 52 65 75 78 79 77 76 83 113

3/18/2017 10:00

3/18/2017 11:00


Gail:

93


72


3/18/2017 12:00	66
3/18/2017 13:00	48
3/18/2017 14:00	46
3/18/2017 15:00	46
3/18/2017 16:00	46
3/18/2017 17:00	46
3/18/2017 18:00	44
3/18/2017 19:00	44
3/18/2017 20:00	48
3/18/2017 21:00	48
3/18/2017 22:00	47
3/18/2017 23:00	47

Monitors operate at the summit of Mt. Washington, and 5 miles north at an elevation of 1,470 feet (451 m). The two monitors were tracking each other on March 15-17, then diverged at 6:00 pm on the 17th.





A few sites in Eastern Canada and New York got 8-hour average ozone between 55 and 60 ppb (shown in yellow below) on March 18. PM2.5 was also locally elevated in parts of the same region, showing some widespread moderate pollution through the area ahead of a low pressure system over Michigan. Piseco Lake in New York, at 1,700 feet, west of Saratoga Springs had hourly ozone of 62 ppb on March 17, and Frelighsburg, Quebec, 40 miles north of Burlington, VT at 640 feet hit 61 ppb at 4:00 pm on March 18. Mt Washington data (approximately under the "p" of Montpelier in the map) is not mapped below, because the automated AirNow system placed a "suspect" QA flag on the high data. New Hampshire Department of Environmental Services has done a preliminary assessment of the data, and finds no indication of instrument malfunction.







A trough was approaching from the west; an area of somewhat elevated jet stream winds from the north was above Mt Washington at 5:00 am on the 18th:







The RAQMS surface prediction for 7:00 am did not show enhanced ozone over New Hampshire, but, it is not clear that the RAQMS surface prediction can resolve a terrain feature like Mt. Washington (Brad?). The 300K surface shows a large area of enhance ozone east of Hudson Bay, with some enhancement extending south toward New England.





Nearby balloon soundings, from Gray, Maine and from Maniwaki, Quebec show some dry air as low as 1,000 to 2,000 meters.





Description of the sounding indices.

All in all, this seems an ambiguous case for an intrusion claim, but more data might help to clarify this.

Richard Payton

EPA Region 8 Air Quality Monitoring (303) 312-6439

R. Bradley Pierce

 $\begin{array}{l} {\tt NOAA/NESDIS} \\ {\tt Center \ for \ SaTellite \ Applications \ and \ Research \ (STAR)} \end{array}$

Advanced Satellite Products Branch (ASPB) 1225 West Dayton Street Madison, WI 53706